

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,419	07/29/2002	Roger J. Westcott	1832	5115
7.	590 12/11/2003		EXAM	INER
Karen G Kaiser			MAIER, LEIGH C	
National Starch	a & Chemical Company			
Box 6500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			1623	
			D 1 (1111) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	_

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/088,419	WESTCOTT ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leigh C. Maier	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of ce later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,12-21,26-35,40-42,44-47,49-52,54,71,74,75</u> , and 80.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	. /					
10.⊠ Other: <u>No new amendment was submitted.</u>	ZAMES O. WILSON					
	SUPY KISORY PATENT FALLEN TECHNOLOSY CONTAIL 1890	ិច្ច Leigh C. Maier Examiner Art Unit: 1623				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant presents arguments, but not evidence, that the product differs from those of the art. The rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

JAMES O. WALSON
SUPERVISORY PATRICT TRANSPIRER
TECHNOLOGY CENTRE 1600